IPPC's PACHAURI LIES

Far too many people think the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) is a scientific body, and foolishly confer the same trust on the IPCC as we would normally give to scientists. Even acknowledging that the IPCC is a political body, you might expect the head of the IPCC, who is not a scientist, to tell the truth. You should never raise your expectations to such a level when dealing with global warming alarmists.

All six organisations supplying temperature data to the IPCC have recorded that temperatures in the past thirteen years have not risen above the 1998 year high, and all have even begrudgingly admitted that temperatures have at least plateaued if not fallen. The 'climategate' fiasco¹ has also shown two of these organisations have been trying to hide the extent of this fall in temperatures.

Not to let these facts get in the way, the head of the IPCC has been travelling the world giving talks using faked graphs that show that the temperatures have been rising since 1998. In January 2008, the chairman of the IPCC, Rajendra Pachauri, was told by reporters from Britain's *The Guardian* that he was using misleading warming statistics². He told them that he "would look into the apparent temperature plateau so far this century".

This sort of detail is not obscure trivia that a busy person might not be able to remember; it is the crux of the IPCC's claim of the approaching climate Armageddon. However, being Christian, we could believe that Pachauri had made an innocent error preparing his graphs. However, nine months later, journalists from the *Sydney Morning Herald* noticed that Pachauri was using similar graphs at a talk in Sydney in October 2008. The intervening period left no excuse for Pachauri to be still using such erroneous information.

"As this was shown on the screen, Pachauri told his large audience: 'We're at a stage where warming is taking place at a **much** faster rate [than before].³ (Bolding by author)

Michael Duffy from the Sydney Morning Herald was shocked and said "Now, this is completely wrong. For most of the past seven years, those temperatures have actually been on a plateau. For the past year, there's been a sharp cooling. These are facts, not opinion: the major sources of these figures, such as the Hadley Centre in Britain, agree on what has happened, and you can check for yourself by going to their websites. Sure, interpretations of the significance of this halt in global warming vary greatly, but the facts are clear."

"Later that night, on ABC TV's *Lateline* program, Pachauri claimed that those who disagree with his own views on global warming are 'flat-earthers' who deny 'the overwhelming weight scientific evidence'. But what evidence could be more

important than the temperature record, which Pachauri himself had lied about only a few hours earlier?"

If reporting of these exchanges is accurate, I believe you can say that Pachauri lied. How many other lies has he told? How can you tell when he is lying, or when he is telling the truth?

MOVE FOUR YEARS FORWARD

In 2012, there are now six Green organisations advising the IPCC about global temperatures. Although sceptical scientists were confident that the evidence was clear by 2005 that global warming had stopped, all six organisations and the IPCC wanted to hide this 'hiatus' in warming from the public.

On the 24th of December 2012 ⁽⁵⁾ Phil Jones, Head of the UK's Climate Research Unit (CRU) issued a media release which stated that, on this date, global warming had stopped 17 years ago and was not expected to resume for at least 5-10 more years. This meant that all six research centres supplying temperature data to the UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) had, on that date, agreed that global warming had stopped for between 17-23 years ⁽⁶⁾, depending on what data set was being used.

Since then, the Head of the IPCC, Rajendra Kumar Pachauri, has been quoting these figures as he travels around the World. For instance, in an interview reported on the front page of The Australian newspaper on the 21st of February 2013, the Head of the IPCC confirmed this, and stated the "hiatus" in warming was likely to continue for at least another five to ten years. Condescendingly, referring to sceptics, he now stated that "...open discussion about controversial science and politically incorrect views was an essential part of tackling climate change."

Note the modern misconception used by Pachauri that views, beliefs, and facts are the same and are interchangeable. They are not. Everyone can have their own views and beliefs, but they cannot have their own facts.

Both the sceptical and Green scientists were now agreeing on the **fact** that global warming had stopped in 1990-1996 depending on the data being used. Pachauri was now trying to denigrate this **fact** by calling it an "**politically incorrect view**"

Why? Because this fact fatally wounded the Green "Cause". It had to be hidden and not discussed.

Today most people are unaware that global warming had stopped for all the life of their student children, half the life of their parents and one third of their life of their grandparents. Like Pavlovian dogs they repeat the mantra of the Green's PR machine that "global warming is continuing and accelerating".

Notes:

- 1. Wishart, Ian, "Air Con: The Seriously Inconvenient Truth About Global Warming" Howling at the Moon Publishing Ltd., North Habour North Shore New Zealand, 2009
- 2. "Truly inconvenient truths about climate change being ignored", Michael Duffy, *Sydney Morning Herald*, 8 November 2008.
- 3. Ibid
- 4. Ibid
- 5. The date and time chosen to release this information was deliberate. There was little media coverage as it was late on the day before Christmas.
- 6. As of Xmas 2019, global warming had stopped for 24-30 years. That is for the whole life of all school students, half the life of their parents and one third of the life of their grandparents. Yet, during this period, we were being told that "global warming is real, and it is accelerating".